



Obstetric emergencies at the United States–Mexico border crossings in El Paso, Texas

Jill A. McDonald,¹ Karen Rishel,¹ Miguel A. Escobedo,²
Danielle E. Arellano,³ and Timothy J. Cunningham⁴

Suggested citation

McDonald JA, Rishel K, Escobedo MA, Arellano DE, Cunningham TJ. Obstetric emergencies at the United States–Mexico border crossings in El Paso, Texas. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2015;37(2):76–82.

ABSTRACT

Objective. To describe the frequency, characteristics, and patient outcomes for women who accessed Emergency Medical Services (EMS) for obstetric emergencies at the ports of entry (POE) between El Paso, Texas, United States of America, and Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua, Mexico.

Methods. A descriptive study of women 12–49 years of age for whom an EMS ambulance was called to an El Paso POE location from December 2008–April 2011 was conducted. Women were identified through surveillance of EMS records. EMS and emergency department (ED) records were abstracted for all women through December 2009 and for women with an obstetric emergency through April 2011. For obstetric patients admitted to the hospital, additional prenatal and birth characteristics were collected. Frequencies and proportions were estimated for each variable; differences between residents of the United States and Mexico were tested.

Results. During December 2008–December 2009, 47.6% (68/143) of women receiving EMS assistance at an El Paso POE had an obstetric emergency, nearly 20 times the proportion for Texas overall. During December 2008–April 2011, 60.1% (66/109) of obstetric patients with ED records were admitted to hospital and 52 gave birth before discharge. Preterm birth (23.1%; No. = 12), low birth weight (9.6%; No. = 5), birth in transit (7.7%; No. = 4), and postpartum hemorrhage (5.8%; No. = 3) were common; fewer than one-half the women (46.2%; No. = 24) had evidence of prenatal care.

Conclusions. The high proportion of obstetric EMS transports and high prevalence of complications in this population suggest a need for binational risk reduction efforts.

Key words

Border areas; border health; pregnancy complications; emergency medical services; international cooperation; maternal welfare; Mexico; United States.

¹ College of Health and Social Services, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, United States of America. Send correspondence to Jill McDonald, email: jillmcd@nmsu.edu

² Division of Global Migration and Quarantine, National Center for Emerging Zoonotic and Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, El Paso, Texas, United States of America.

³ Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America.

⁴ Epidemic Intelligence Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America.

The United States of America and Mexico (US–Mexico) border area, defined in 1983 as extending 100 kilometers north and south of the international boundary line and running from the Gulf of Mexico to the Pacific Ocean (1), has been a major focus in the political debate on undocumented immigration, national security, and disparities in health care access (2, 3). Nearly 15 million people reside in the area, including approximately 3 million women of

reproductive age (4). For many, country of residence is not country of citizenship: some United States citizens reside in Mexico, some Mexican citizens reside in the United States, and some families maintain residences on both sides of the border (5, 6). Crossing the border in either direction for social, economic, and health care reasons is common among border residents (5–11). Moreover, between the United States and Mexico, there are 52 land ports of entry (POEs)

where close to 1 million drivers, passengers, and pedestrians enter the United States legally every day (12).

Among women travelling through POEs, some are seeking obstetrical care from physicians and hospitals in the United States (5, 8, 13). Some women who cross the border in active labor, or with other obstetrical emergencies, require emergency medical services (EMS) transport to a United States hospital. In instances where such women arrive at a POE without the required documentation to enter the United States, "humanitarian parole" may be granted and an EMS transport, including transfer from a Mexican to a United States ambulance, can be arranged by Customs and Border Protection agents (14). EMS transport of obstetrical patients with or without documentation into the United States at POEs in Texas and New Mexico occurs regularly.⁵ The frequency of EMS transports for obstetrical emergencies from US-Mexico border POEs, however, is unknown.

Such events present a number of public health challenges. Late or no prenatal care is common in the border area and in Texas border counties, in particular (15), so some of these women might present to hospitals in the United States with unknown prenatal histories. In addition, women might have surgical deliveries, and then return home with uncertain follow-up. A lack of binational mechanisms to share patient information, including medical records, across the US-Mexico border makes continuity of pre- and post-pregnancy care for women travelling to the United States from Mexico difficult.

The frequency of obstetric emergencies among EMS transports at POEs in El Paso, Texas, (bordering Ciudad Juarez, Mexico) to hospitals in El Paso were identified from a database of EMS transport response records. In addition, the characteristics and birth outcomes of

transported women were recorded. Such information could inform efforts to enhance continuity of care and reduce use of EMS transport for obstetric conditions in this binational metropolis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a descriptive study that employed a database of emergency medical transport response records for women of reproductive age using FirstWatch® (Stout Solutions LLC, Encinitas, California, United States), a novel, web-based, commercially available surveillance software system used by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) El Paso Quarantine Station. The original purpose of the CDC system was to identify reportable diseases, such as tuberculosis, and improve disease surveillance at the El Paso, Texas POEs (16).

Study population

The study population included all women 12–49 years of age who accessed EMS transport from one of the three urban POEs connecting El Paso, Texas, and Ciudad Juarez, Mexico, for any reason, from 1 December 2008–31 December 2009. Information for all women during this time was collected to assess the proportion of transports that were obstetric in nature, and to make comparisons with other populations. To increase the number of obstetrical patients in the study population, all pregnant or postpartum women 12–49 years of age who accessed EMS transport from an El Paso POE for any health emergency from 1 January 2010–30 April 2011 were also assessed. An EMS transport from a POE was defined as one initiated at a POE address. In instances where the address fell outside the POE, but the EMS record narrative clearly stated that the patient had crossed into the United States immediately prior to the incident call, non-POE addresses were also included. Women were included in the study regardless of whether transport to an area hospital was accepted or declined.

Data collection

From each response record, the following data were abstracted: date of EMS call; primary reason for the call as assessed by the EMS responder; patient name, age, and country of residence; and

hospital destination. Using date, patient name, and hospital destination from the EMS transport records, patient medical records were requested from the eight nearby El Paso hospitals to which patients were transported. Records that could not be located after three requests were classified as missing. A standard abstraction form and coding reference manual were developed to ensure a systematic approach to data abstraction and coding. The manual included variables of interest from the EMS transport record, the hospital emergency department (ED) record, the labor and delivery (L&D) record, and coding directions for each.

Data abstraction was completed by a team of four individuals that included two physicians. All were trained in the study protocol. Variables abstracted from ED and L&D records included country of residence, admission and discharge diagnoses, disposition from the ED, method of payment, prenatal care (yes/no), prenatal care country (United States/Mexico), method of delivery, birth outcome, and any maternal or delivery complications and adverse outcomes noted.

For comparison purposes, public use EMS data for women 12–49 years of age from the State of Texas for 2009 (17) and comparable data for the City of El Paso for 2011 were obtained. These were the first years that user-friendly electronic data became available, respectively (18). For each population, the proportion of transports attributable to obstetric emergencies was computed.

Study ethics

EMS records were accessed through a secure, password-protected website, and data from medical records were abstracted on-site at hospitals. Precautions to insure patient anonymity were followed and no personal identifiers were included in the analysis file. The study protocol was reviewed for human subjects concerns by the CDC and found to be consistent with public health practice.

Data analysis

The data were analyzed using Epi Info,™ version 3.5.4 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States). Frequencies and proportions of calls for EMS transport at El Paso POEs for each variable were

⁵ Unpublished Protocol to Regulate Temporary Admittance into the United States of Non-US Residents and Non-US Citizens through the Columbus, New Mexico Port of Entry to Obtain Emergency Healthcare Services (2011), New Mexico State Department of Health, Office of Border Health, Las Cruces, New Mexico, United States; personal communication with: C. Wright, former Customs and Border Protection Assistant Port Director in Presidio, Texas and Port Director in Columbus, New Mexico and Santa Teresa, New Mexico (19 June 2013); and personal communication with P. Dulin, former Director of the Office of Border Health, New Mexico Department of Health, Las Cruces, New Mexico (24 May 2013).

computed. Fisher's exact test was used to test for differences between United States and Mexican residents and the proportions of obstetrical cases at El Paso POEs, the City of El Paso, and the state of Texas.

RESULTS

During December 2008–December 2009, EMS transport response records were identified for 143 women 12–49 years of age who had crossed into the United States at an El Paso POE (Table 1).

Ten women refused transport, electing instead to use their own transportation, and 133 were carried to an El Paso hospital. Most women (57.4%; 82/143) were under 25 years of age. Among the 127 women who reported country of residence, nearly 80% (No. = 101) resided in the United States, and the remainder, in Mexico (No. = 26). Most sought care for acute illnesses or injuries, but the most common single reason for requesting an EMS transport among these women of reproductive age was an obstetric emergency. Almost half (47.6%; 68/143) of the EMS calls from an El Paso POE were obstetric-related. The corresponding proportions in Texas in 2009 (18) and the City of El Paso in 2011 (19) were lower at 2.8% and 5.0%, respectively ($P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$) (Table 2).

TABLE 1. Age, country of residence, and primary reason for Emergency Medical Services (EMS) call to El Paso, Texas ports of entry among women 12–49 years of age, December 2008–December 2009

| | No. | % |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Age (years) | | |
| < 20 | 42 | 29.4 |
| 20–24 | 40 | 28.0 |
| 25–34 | 26 | 18.2 |
| ≥ 35 | 35 | 24.5 |
| Country of residence | | |
| United States | 101 | 70.6 |
| Mexico | 26 | 18.2 |
| Unknown | 16 | 11.2 |
| Primary reason for EMS call | | |
| Obstetrical | 68 | 47.6 |
| Motor Vehicle Accident (MVA) | 20 | 14.0 |
| Trauma, non-MVA | 12 | 8.4 |
| Abdominal pain | 11 | 7.7 |
| Respiratory distress | 6 | 4.2 |
| Altered mental status | 6 | 4.2 |
| Seizure | 3 | 2.1 |
| Weakness | 3 | 2.1 |
| Other | 14 | 9.8 |
| Total | 143 | 100.0 |

TABLE 2. Proportions of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) obstetric transport calls to El Paso, Texas land ports of entry (POE), the City of El Paso, and the state of Texas, among women 12–49 years of age, December 2008–December 2009

| Location | Number of transports | % Obstetric transports | P value ^a |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| El Paso POE | 143 | 47.6 | |
| City of El Paso ^b | 5 312 | 5.0 | < 0.0000001 |
| State of Texas ^c | 203 356 | 2.8 | < 0.0000001 |

^a Significant difference at $P < 0.05$ level (Fisher Exact Test).

^b City of El Paso, EMS transports, 2011.

^c State of Texas, EMS transports, 2009.

A total of 154 women with obstetric conditions during December 2008–April 2011, of whom one-third were adolescents (52/154), requested EMS assistance from an El Paso POE (Table 3). The primary reason for the call, according to EMS response records, was labor. EMS records indicated that 6 of the 154 women (3.9%) refused EMS ambulance transport to a hospital. Hospital records for 5 of these 6 women, plus 40 others who were transported by EMS ambulance, could not be located. Among the 109 women with obstetric conditions for whom paper or electronic hospital records were located, 62 were admitted to hospital L&D services and 4 were admitted to other services, such as 3 to surgery for ectopic pregnancy or postpartum complications. Of all, 40 women were discharged from the ED and 3 left against medical advice. In general, women residing in the United States were older, less likely to be in active labor, and more likely to be discharged home from the hospital ED than Mexican women ($P < 0.05$).

Fifty-two women among the 62 admitted to L&D services gave birth before discharge, including 36 of the 45 United States residents and 16 of the 17 Mexican residents (Table 4.) According to hospital records, more than one-half of the women had had no prenatal care. Among women who received prenatal care, United States residents were more likely than Mexican residents to have received care in the United States. Most United States residents were either covered by Medicaid (41.7%) or classified as self-pay (36.1%). Most Mexican women were classified as self-pay (56.3%). The proportions of United States and Mexican women who delivered vaginally were similar. Among the vaginal births, 4 were delivered en route (7.7% overall). Other maternal or delivery complications noted were comorbidities in 6 women, postpartum hemorrhage in 3,

and breech delivery in 2. Major adverse birth outcomes included 1 stillbirth, 12 preterm live-births ranging from 23 to 36 weeks of gestation (23.1%), 6 live-born infants weighing < 2500 grams (9.6%), and 2 infants with major birth defects.

DISCUSSION

During a period of 28 months, our analyses indicated that EMS ambulances transported 154 women who had crossed into the United States at an El Paso POE to a hospital for obstetric care. About one-third (52/154) of these women were admitted directly to a hospital and delivered a baby. Most (36/52) of these women reported United States residency; 16 reported residency in Mexico. These 52 births constituted < 0.2% of all births in El Paso County during this time period (19).

The proportion of obstetric emergencies requiring EMS transport from an El Paso POE was more than 10-fold the proportion among women of reproductive age using EMS services in the City of El Paso or in Texas overall. Possible explanations for this finding may include a tendency for POE staff to call EMS when a traveler is in active labor (14), for United States citizens and legal permanent residents living in Mexico to return to the United States to give birth, and for Mexican nationals to enter the United States so that their child will be entitled to citizenship (13). Delivering an infant in the United States automatically confers United States citizenship to the child and might provide access to better care for mother and newborn (13). Infants delivered in Mexico to United States citizens residing in Mexico are eligible for United States citizenship, but the process may be time-consuming and involves additional costs (20).

The population of women crossing the border and giving birth appears to be less likely to have received prenatal care

TABLE 3. Age, obstetric condition, and disposition from El Paso, Texas, hospital emergency departments (ED) by country of residence among obstetrical Emergency Medical Services (EMS) patients 12–49 years of age, December 2008–December 2011

| | United States residence | | Mexico residence | | Unknown residence | | Total | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | |
| < 20 | 35 | 30.7 | 14 | 45.2 | 3 | 33.3 | 52 | 33.8 |
| 20–24 | 53 | 46.5 | 8 | 25.8 ^a | 3 | 33.3 | 64 | 41.6 |
| 25–34 | 18 | 15.8 | 7 | 22.6 | 3 | 33.3 | 28 | 18.2 |
| ≥ 35 | 8 | 7.0 | 2 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 6.5 |
| Primary reason for call, based on the EMS record | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | 56 | 49.1 | 21 | 67.7 ^a | 4 | 44.4 | 81 | 52.6 |
| Vaginal bleeding | 23 | 20.2 | 3 | 9.7 | 1 | 11.1 | 27 | 17.5 |
| Pain/cramping | 20 | 17.5 | 5 | 16.1 | 1 | 11.1 | 26 | 16.9 |
| Pregnant with other condition ^b | 9 | 7.9 | 1 | 3.2 | 2 | 22.2 | 12 | 7.8 |
| Postpartum complication ^c | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 3.2 | 1 | 11.1 | 5 | 3.2 |
| Ectopic pregnancy | 2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Spontaneous abortion | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Disposition from the ED | | | | | | | | |
| Admitted to labor and delivery | 45 | 39.5 | 17 | 54.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 62 | 40.2 |
| Admitted to other service | 4 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.6 |
| Discharged from ED | 35 | 30.7 | 3 | 9.7 ^a | 2 | 22.2 | 40 | 26.0 |
| Left ED against medical advice | 2 | 1.8 | 1 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.9 |
| Missing hospital record | 28 | 24.6 | 10 | 32.3 | 7 | 77.8 | 45 | 29.2 |
| Total | 114 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 154 | 100.0 |

^a Significant difference at $P < 0.05$ level, as compared to United States residents (Fisher Exact Test).

^b Includes falls and other trauma (4 cases), faintness/dizziness/headache (2 cases), acute febrile illness (1 case), seizure (1 case), weakness (1 case), diabetic emergency (1 case), post-gallstone surgery pain (1 case), and vomiting with blood (1 case).

^c Includes post-Cesarean pain (2 cases), postpartum abdominal pain (2 cases) and post-ectopic pregnancy complication (1 case).

than other women in the border area. A recent study of birth certificates from Mexico and the United States found that 10%–17% of women who reside in the border area of Chihuahua and Texas, respectively, received late or no prenatal care during pregnancy (15); whereas, over one-half of our study population was noted to not have had prenatal care. However, prevalence of prenatal care in the medical record might be underestimated if received in health centers unaffiliated with the hospital of birth (13), or in Mexico. Indeed, our study abstractors noted several instances of reports of prenatal care received in Mexico or prenatal care records in Spanish that were not recognized in the admissions summary of the hospital record. Prevalence of prenatal care might also be low due to lack of insurance (13). The proportion of births to United States residents enrolled in Medicaid (41.7%) appears lower than the percent among all births in Texas in 2011 (56.4%) (21), and few United States residents had private insurance. Lack of prenatal care in this EMS population may reflect a local population that is poor, relative to Texas overall (22), and that resides part-time in Mexico because of its lower cost of living (6).

Over 60% of study women for whom hospital records were located were admitted to the hospital directly from the ED. This proportion is 2–3 times higher than those reported for general EMS transports in other studies (23, 24), and suggests that EMS assistance was justified. Further evidence of the need for urgent obstetric care is indicated by the nearly 8% of deliveries that occurred in transit, which greatly exceeds the incidence of unintended out-of-hospital deliveries reported in other developed countries, which range from 0.1%–2% (25). Anecdotal reports of birth at POEs are consistent with this finding, such as an adolescent United States resident who recently gave birth prematurely in a POE inspection area while awaiting an ambulance (26). Such deliveries also signal that women may be waiting too long to request EMS assistance. Preterm labor was common in this population, resulting in preterm birth among 23.1% of women as compared to 12.2% and 6.2% of births among Hispanic United States residents and Mexican women, respectively, living on either side of the border area (15). The prevalence of low birth weight also appears high in comparison to births among Hispanic

United States and Mexican women in the area (15).

In addition to demonstrating the need for EMS transport, the complications among this study population indicate a need for postpartum care and continued follow-up. Although many women were discharged from L&D with instructions to obtain follow-up from their private physician, lack of insurance coverage among many of these transborder women may make it difficult. Notes in the discharge summaries of patient records from the one public hospital in our study acknowledged this difficulty by frequently advising women to make a postnatal appointment, but at the same time warning that access to the clinic cannot be guaranteed. The extent to which women in the study population do obtain postnatal follow-up is unknown.

Hospital records were missing for 45/154 (29%) women with obstetric emergencies. This proportion is consistent with that of an earlier study of missing hospital records (27), which concluded that records for medically-complicated births were less likely to be found in retrospective record ascertainment. In a recent study of missing clinical

TABLE 4. Prenatal care, form of payment, method of delivery, selected complications, and adverse outcomes among admitted Emergency Medical Services (EMS) obstetric transport patients who gave birth, by country of residence, December 2008–April 2011

| | United States residents (n = 36) | | Mexico residents (n = 16) | | Total (n = 52) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Prenatal care | | | | | | |
| Yes | 18 | 50.0 | 6 | 37.5 | 24 | 46.2 |
| No | 17 | 47.2 | 10 | 62.5 | 27 | 51.9 |
| Not specified | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Place of prenatal care^b | | | | | | |
| United States | 11 | 30.6 | 0 | 0.0 ^a | 11 | 21.2 |
| Mexico | 2 | 5.5 | 6 | 37.5 | 8 | 15.4 |
| Not specified | 5 | 13.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.6 |
| Form of payment | | | | | | |
| Medicaid | 15 | 41.7 | 0 | 0.0 ^a | 15 | 28.8 |
| Self-pay | 13 | 36.1 | 9 | 56.3 | 22 | 42.3 |
| Private/other insurance | 2 | 5.6 | 4 | 25.0 | 6 | 11.5 |
| Not specified | 6 | 16.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 9 | 17.3 |
| Method of delivery | | | | | | |
| Vaginal | 30 | 83.3 | 14 | 87.5 | 44 | 84.6 |
| Cesarean | 6 | 16.7 | 2 | 12.5 | 8 | 15.4 |
| Selected maternal and delivery complications | | | | | | |
| Comorbidities ^c | 6 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 11.5 |
| Hemorrhage | 1 | 2.8 | 2 | 12.5 | 3 | 5.8 |
| Breech birth | 2 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.8 |
| Delivered in transit ^d | 4 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 7.7 |
| Adverse infant outcomes | | | | | | |
| Stillbirth | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Preterm live birth | 8 | 22.2 | 4 | 25.0 | 12 | 23.1 |
| Birth weight < 2500 grams | 3 | 8.3 | 2 | 12.5 | 5 | 9.6 |
| Major birth defects | 1 | 2.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 2 | 3.8 |
| Total | 36 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 |

^a Significant difference at $P < 0.05$ level, as compared to United States residents (Fisher Exact Test).

^b Among the 24 women with prenatal care.

^c Includes sexually transmitted disease (2 cases), other infection (2 cases), anemia (1 case) and anemia and asthma (1 case).

^d Includes deliveries in ambulance (3 patients) and at hospital elevator (1 patient).

information from primary care clinics in Colorado (United States), new patients, recent immigrants, and patients who had multiple medical problems had a higher probability of incomplete clinic records than other patients (28). The absence of hospital records for patients with obstetric emergencies in our study makes the delivery of care after discharge more difficult. This is particularly true for women who return to Mexico following their hospital stays.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the accuracy of self-reported information about country of residence in the EMS and hospital records cannot be confirmed. As a result, the relative proportions of women who report residing in the United States versus Mexico may

not be accurate. Second, small numbers of study patients limited a more detailed examination of the characteristics of this population. Third, the absence of hospital records for 29.2% of EMS obstetric patients means that the results shown in Table 4 might not be representative. Fourth, we collected only 13 months of call data for all EMS patients. If the composition of the EMS transport group changed during the subsequent 16 months of the study, the proportion of obstetric calls could have changed and a bias could have been introduced. However, we continued to collect data for obstetric calls only during the latter period of the study, solely to maximize the reach of our resources and have no reason to suspect that the pattern of EMS needs at El Paso POEs changed during those 16 months. Finally, results of this study might not be generaliz-

able to other US-Mexico border POEs. At POEs in more rural border communities, for example, pregnant women can expect an ambulance ride of 1.5 hours or more before reaching a birthing hospital.⁶

Addressing these limitations will require better data on country of residence, more complete medical records, and similar assessments in other border communities.

Recommendations

To improve data quality and help focus risk reduction efforts among this and similar transborder populations, collaborative evaluation of critical health care services and provision of tools to facilitate care on both sides of the border are needed. Binational health councils that operate along the US-Mexico border to call attention to shared health priorities could advocate for improved communication and other changes that would improve birth safety in the border area (29). Such efforts might include the availability of a bilingual prenatal record or a mobile patient record (30), including expected delivery date, blood type, and maternal risk factors that an expectant mother could carry with her. Identification of specific clinics in Mexico that might agree to provide the first postpartum visit and to receive the United States hospital records is also possible. "Promotoras" (community health workers) working in the United States and Mexico could also be engaged to ensure that transborder women receive appropriate postnatal care; binational discussion groups with providers could be conducted on how these linkages could be implemented. These and other approaches to binational sharing of vital clinical information and provision of obstetric services, whatever the motivation for crossing the border, are warranted. The process of border crossing, and in some cases, a long delay before reaching the hospital, coupled with the absence of medical records and uncertain follow-up care, likely put both mother and baby at greater risk.

⁶ Personal communications with C. Wright, former Customs and Border Protection Assistant Port Director in Presidio, Texas, and Port Director in Columbus, New Mexico and Santa Teresa, New Mexico (19 June 2013).

Conclusions

Almost one-half of all EMS calls for women of reproductive age crossing from Ciudad Juarez, Mexico, to El Paso, Texas, were obstetric-related. These were high-risk women. Among them,

one-third were adolescents; among the live births, one-quarter were preterm. The high proportion of obstetric EMS transports and high prevalence of complications in this population suggest a need for binational risk reduction efforts.

Acknowledgments. The findings and conclusions in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC.

Conflicts of interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Mumme SP, Collins K. The La Paz Agreement: 30 years on. *Journal Environment & Development* 2014;23(3):303–30. doi: 10.1177/1070496514528801
- Su D, Pratt W, Stimpson JP, Wong R, Pagán JA. Uninsurance, underinsurance, and health care utilization in Mexico by US border residents. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2014;16(14):607–12. doi: 10.1007/s10903-013-9828-2.
- Bastida E, Brown HS 3rd, Pagán JA. Persistent disparities in the use of health care along the US-Mexico border: an ecological perspective. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(11):1987–95.
- Lara-Valencia F. Quality of life in the United States-Mexico border region: current status and emerging trends. In: Wilson CE, Lee E, eds. *The state of the border report: a comprehensive analysis of the US-Mexico border*. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, Mexico Institute; 2013. Pp. 20–58.
- Ojeda de la Peña N. Transborder families and gendered trajectories of migration and work. In: Segura DA, Zavella P, eds. *Women and migration in the US-Mexico borderlands: a reader*. Durham, North Carolina: Duke University Press; 2007. Pp. 327–40.
- Kiy R, Kada N. Blurred borders: transboundary impacts and solutions in the San Diego-Tijuana border region. San Diego: International Community Foundation; 2004. Available from: [www.calendow.org/uploadedFiles/blurred_borders\(1\).pdf](http://www.calendow.org/uploadedFiles/blurred_borders(1).pdf) Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Macias EP, Morales LS. Crossing the border for health care. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2001;12(1):77–87.
- Byrd TL, Law JG. Cross-border utilization of health care services by United States residents living near the Mexican border. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2009;26(2):95–100.
- Lapeyrouse LM, Morera O, Heyman JM, Amaya MA, Pingatore NE, Balcazar H. A profile of US-Mexico border mobility among a stratified sample of Hispanics living in the El Paso-Juarez area. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2012;14(2):264–71.
- Marquez RR, Romo HD, eds. *Transformations of la familia on the US-Mexico border*. Notre Dame, Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press; 2008.
- Homedes N. Achieving health equity and social justice in the US-Mexico border region. In: Lusk M, Staudt K, Moya E, eds. *Social Justice in the US-Mexico border region*. New York: Springer; 2012. Pp. 127–44.
- Bureau of Transportation Statistics, US Department of Transportation, Research and Innovative Technology Administration Page. Department of Homeland Security, US Customs and Border Protection, Office of Field Operations. Available from: http://transborder.bts.gov/programs/international/transborder/TBDR_BC/TBDR_BC_Index.html Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Fullerton JT, Nelson C, Shannon R, Bader J. Prenatal care in the Paso del Norte border region. *J Perinatol*. 2004;24:62–71.
- United States Customs and Border Protection. Humanitarian parole. Available from: https://help.cbp.gov/app/answers/detail/a_id/1639/~-/humanitarian-parole Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- McDonald JA, Mojarro O, Sutton PD, Ventura S. A binational overview of reproductive health outcomes among US Hispanic and Mexican women in the border region. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2013;10:E137. doi: 10.5888/pcd10.130019.
- Fishbein D, Sandoval M, Wright C, Herrera S, Reese S, Wilson T, et al. Public health surveillance using emergency medical logs—US-Mexico Border, El Paso, Texas, 2009. *MMWR*. 2010;59(21):649–53.
- Texas Department of State Health Services. Emergency medical services/trauma registry public use data file, 2009. Available from: www.dshs.state.tx.us/injury/data/ Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- El Paso Fire Department, El Paso, Texas. EMS Incident Report Data Request. Available from: <http://home.elpasotexas.gov/fire-department/incident-report-requests.php> Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Texas Department of State Health Services. Texas health data, 2010. Available from: <http://soupsfin.tdh.state.tx.us/> Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- United States Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs. Birth of US Citizens Abroad. Available from: <http://travel.state.gov/content/passports/english/abroad/events-and-records/birth.html> Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Texas Health and Human Services Commission. Texas Medicaid and CHIP in perspective, 9th ed., 2013. Austin, Texas: Texas Health and Human Services Commission. Available from: www.hhsc.state.tx.us/medicaid/about/PB/toc.shtml Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- United States Census Bureau. State and County QuickFacts: El Paso County, Texas. Available from: <http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/48/48141.html> Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Levine SD, Colwell CB, Pons PT, Gravitz C, Haukoos JS, McVane KE. How well do paramedics predict admission to the hospital? A prospective study. *J Emerg Med*. 2006;31(1):1–5.
- Cuddeback G, Patterson D, Moore CG, Brice JH. Utilization of emergency medical transports and hospital admissions among persons with behavioral health conditions. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2010;61(4):412–5.
- Sheiner E, Ohel I, Hadar A. Out-of-hospital deliveries. In: B-Lynch C, Keith LG, Karoshi M, eds. *A textbook of postpartum hemorrhage: a comprehensive guide to comprehension, management and surgical intervention*. London: Sapiens Publishing; 2006. Pp. 413–20.
- CBP Officers deliver baby at El Paso Port of Entry on Thanksgiving. El Paso, Texas: NewsChannel9-KTSM; 2 December 2013. Available from: www.ktsm.com/news/cbp-officers-deliver-baby-el-paso-port-entry-thanksgiving Accessed on 6 October 2014.
- Westgren M, Divon M, Greenspoon J, Paul R. Missing hospital records: a confounding variable in retrospective studies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1986;155:269–71.
- Smith PC, Araya-Guerra R, Bublitz C, Parnes B, Dickinson LM, Van Vorst R, et al. Missing clinical information during primary care visits. *JAMA*. 2005;293(5):565–71.
- United States-Mexico Border Health Commission. Health Councils. Available from: www.borderhealth.org/health_councils.php Accessed on 3 February 2014.
- Butler MO, Matos R, Versendaal K. Evaluation of the US-Mexico binational TB referral case management project. Arlington, Virginia: Battelle, Centers for Public Health Research and Evaluation; 2006. Available from: <http://aspe.hhs.gov/evaluation/fullreports/06/7985.1.doc> Accessed on 12 March 2015.

Manuscript received on 7 October 2014. Revised version accepted for publication on 24 February 2015.

Urgencias obstétricas en la frontera entre los Estados Unidos y México en El Paso, Texas

RESUMEN

Objetivo. Describir la frecuencia, las características y los resultados asistenciales de las mujeres que recibieron atención médica de urgencia por problemas obstétricos en los puntos fronterizos entre El Paso (Texas, Estados Unidos) y Ciudad Juárez (Chihuahua, México).

Métodos. Se realizó un estudio descriptivo de las mujeres de 12 a 49 años de edad para las que se solicitó una ambulancia de urgencia desde los puntos de ingreso de El Paso entre diciembre del 2008 y abril del 2011. Para identificar a las mujeres se hizo un seguimiento de los archivos de los servicios de urgencias. Se resumieron los historiales de los servicios de urgencias y del departamento de urgencias hasta diciembre del 2009 en el caso de todas las mujeres y hasta abril del 2011 en el caso de las mujeres con una urgencia obstétrica. Respecto a las pacientes ingresadas en hospitales por cuadros obstétricos, se recopilaron además las características prenatales y los datos del parto. Se calcularon las frecuencias y las proporciones relativas a cada variable; se analizaron las diferencias entre las residentes de los Estados Unidos y las de México.

Resultados. En el período comprendido entre diciembre del 2008 y diciembre del 2009, 47,6% (68/143) de las mujeres atendidas de urgencia en un punto fronterizo de El Paso presentó una urgencia obstétrica, casi 20 veces la proporción correspondiente al estado de Texas en general. Entre diciembre del 2008 y abril del 2011, 60,1% (66/109) de las pacientes obstétricas con historial en el departamento de urgencias fueron ingresadas en un hospital y 52 dieron a luz antes de recibir el alta. Fueron frecuentes los partos prematuros (23,1%; No. = 12), el peso bajo al nacer (9,6%, No. = 5), los partos en tránsito (7,7%; No. = 4) y las hemorragias posparto (5,8%; No. = 3); en menos de la mitad de los casos (46,2%; No. = 24) no se constató que las mujeres hubiesen recibido asistencia prenatal.

Conclusiones. La elevada proporción de transportes por urgencias obstétricas y la alta prevalencia de complicaciones en esta población ponen de manifiesto la necesidad de actuaciones binacionales para reducir los riesgos.

Palabras clave

Áreas fronterizas; salud fronteriza; complicaciones del embarazo; servicios médicos de urgencia; cooperación internacional; bienestar materno; México; Estados Unidos.